Interview with Jacques Santer: the preparations for the Single European Act (Sanem, 6 April 2006)

Source: Interview de Jacques Santer / JACQUES SANTER, Étienne Deschamps, prise de vue : François Fabert.- Sanem: CVCE [Prod.], 06.04.2006. CVCE, Sanem. - VIDEO (00:09:26, Couleur, Son original).

Copyright: (c) Translation CVCE.EU by UNI.LU

All rights of reproduction, of public communication, of adaptation, of distribution or of dissemination via Internet, internal network or any other means are strictly reserved in all countries. Consult the legal notice and the terms and conditions of use regarding this site.

URL:

http://www.cvce.eu/obj/interview_with_jacques_santer_the_preparations_for_the_s ingle_european_act_sanem_6_april_2006-en-a11d5acb-f2a7-445b-b421-687c21661514.html



Last updated: 05/07/2016



<t1>Interview with Jacques Santer: the preparations for the Single European Act (Sanem, 6 April 2006)</t1>

[Étienne Deschamps] It was in September 1985 under the Luxembou rg Presidency that the Intergovernmental Conference, the IGC, whic h was to lead to the Single European Act one year later on, opened in Luxembourg. At the time you were Head of the Luxembourg Gove rnment and Minister for Finance. What was really at stake concerni ng this IGC?

[Jacques Santer] It was the first Intergovernmental Conference sin ce the Treaty of Rome. In fact, it was the first revision of the Trea ty of Rome. And it fell on Luxembourg, which had been preceded b y the Italian Presidency, it must be admitted, to adopt the White Pa per on the Internal Market, the full internal market, which had bee n prepared by the Delors Commission and adopted at the European Council in Milan.

It was the first time that at a European Council in Milan a was vot e taken by the Heads of State or Government, because there were ce rtain Heads of State, or above all of Government, who were not in f such as Margaret Thatcher, at the time on a certain numbe avour r of points. But in spite of that, the then acting President, Mr Crax i, suddenly called for a vote, completely unexpectedly. Then he sai d: Now it is up to the Luxembourg Presidency. I am handing over t he White Paper to the Luxembourg Presidency. And that is how we had this White Paper on our hands. We attached great importance to I can still recall this very well, there was my Foreign its success Minister Jacques Poos at the time and we really worked at it. We did nothing else but work on this Internal Market, this full Internal Market, which was later to come into force in 1992. That was the ai m, because the Internal Market, or rather the Treaty of Rome, had c reated a customs union; it had created the Internal Market, but it f unctioned as different national markets. There were not a few obsta cles in our way, so the establishment of this Internal Market was v ery important. At first we worked at our level, that of Luxembourg, in close cooperation with the Commission. We had drawn up a comp lete Treaty, with brackets and parentheses, and then it was the job of the Foreign Minister, just as it was for us at our level, to visit our corresponding colleagues, in the different countries where we d iscussed it with them, looking for possible grounds for compromis e. We found quite a few potential areas of compromise, but everyon e had to be in agreement, because a Treaty, or the revision of a Tre aty, is negotiated unanimously. We got to the point in the end a n d I can still remember this clearly when there were ten or so points to settle. All the other points were more or less incidental. But tho se were really the key points and so we tried to negotiate them duri ng the two days here in Luxembourg itself. Against all odds we suc ceeded, but it was not easy. It was not easy. I recall that it was al most midnight on the second day, with two or three points remainin



g to be settled because we were bundling them together, tying the m into a packet points that were still under discussion. At that m oment, as I was very patiently going round the table, not letting m yself be put off by the different reactions, for we just could not ag ree, I remember that Helmut Kohl came up behind me at a certain m oment and said: Jacques, leave it at that, because we are not going to manage it. We shall come back to it at the next Presidency and f inish it; you have done a good job until now; we are nearly there; we shall put together what you have done and leave the rest for the next Presidency. Then I told him: Helmut, I am going to try just o nce more; that is to say, I am going to adjourn the session. And I did so. It was almost midnight. I adjourned the session, but I stipu lated that it would be for half an hour or three-quarters of an hour, so as to carry on afterwards in order to find out what the Governme nts positions were, and to draw up new compromise documents.

At the time it was above all Mrs Thatcher who had a certain numbe r of problems. One in particular because, in the text itself of the W hite Paper I remember it there was also a reference to economic and monetary union. And she was not having that. Each time that I went round the table yet again, she said: I have already said why I was against this; I have no more to say. So, I thought, I cannot tal k to her, but I will speak to her Foreign Minister, Sir Geoffrey Ho we, instead. I called Geoffrey and told him: Listen, Geoffrey, this is the problem: we can no longer discuss this with Margaret, but ho w do you see this? In that way we found a solution. I said: What i f we did not leave it in the main body of the text but put it in a pr eamble or something like that? and so forth.

I called the others in to suggest this, but Delors was not too happy about it, so I said: But it is a compromise; otherwise, there will b e no result at all and in six months we shall be faced with the same situation, because she will not budge. Then the others agreed, sinc e it was already late and they wanted to be finished with it. So whe n we re-opened the meeting, I said: I have now found grounds for an agreement with the British delegation which will satisfy neither the Commission nor the other delegations, but which, for the sake o f compromise is necessary. And put like that, it was accepted. So at about three o clock in the morning everything was settled. It was an interruption that came just at the moment when it was needed.

This is something that I have remarked on several occasions, in ot her circumstances as well: you have to see and have the sensitivit y to know just how far one can go, and if something still remains to be done, one must possess a sense of compromise, the capacity f or compromise. That is what is important, even for a compromise th at will always be somewhat shaky, one way or another, but the main thing is to make progress. It must be a compromise that goes forwa rd, that represents an opening. Besides, later on in her memoirs Ma



rgaret Thatcher recognised that she had made a mistake, because af terwards everyone always referred to the Preamble, saying that the Internal Market is not merely a free trade area, but it also has as it s aim monetary union. At that point she recognised that she had ced ed too much, and that she had not foreseen the political impact of t hat period. It was accepted like that. I was not received particularl y well at first by the European Parliament, I have to say, because t hey wanted to go further. But later on, some months later on, every one said that it was the best step forwards that had been made in th e European Union since the Treaty of Rome.

That was how it went. Delors also recognised this later on, quite c learly. As a result I consider that it was an important step; one tha t conditioned, and still conditions, the entire evolution of the Euro pean Union, and I was very pleased that it took place during the Lu xembourg Presidency. It was also one of the arguments that were al ways made, when I became President of the European Commission l ater on, or when certain people wanted to present me as President o f the Commission it was the success, or the achievements, of the European Council that was held here in Luxembourg in 1985.

